Religion

STUDENT HANDOUT: Rubric for Culminating Project

	Interviews	Advocating Own Position	Quality of Presentation
4 points: superior	Presents a strong and concise summary of general findings, views and sentiments; shares 5 or more quotes to illustrate findings and/or conclusions; draws logical and evidence-based conclusions about what religious, social or other factors influenced interviewee's opinions.	Demonstrates wide range of knowledge, clear understanding; presents 6 or more points, each with two or more pieces of supporting evidence.	Very well organized: easy to follow logic and sequence; presented in strong voice, with appropriate pacing, animation, and consciousness of audience; highly engaging; includes visuals; thoroughly responds to questions.
3 points: good	Presents a strong and concise summary of general findings, views and sentiments; shares 4 or more quotes to illustrate findings and/or conclusions; mostly draws logical and evidence-based conclusions about what religious, social or other factors influenced interviewee's opinions.	Demonstrates solid understanding of subject matter; presents 5 or more points, each with at least one piece of supporting evidence.	Almost always well organized with easy to follow logic and sequence; good articulation, volume, pace, animation, and consciousness of audience; nearly always engaging; includes visuals; responds to most questions thoroughly.
2 points: satisfactory	Presents a summary of general findings, views and sentiments that is sometimes unfocused and not entirely relevant; shares 2-3 quotes to illustrate findings and/or conclusions; draws conclusions that are not always evidence-based and logical.	Demonstrates basic familiarity with subject matter; presents 3-4 points, each with at least one piece of supporting evidence.	Occasionally disorganized and hard to follow; adequate articulation, volume, pace, animation, and some consciousness of audience; moderately engaging; includes limited visuals; responds poorly to questions.
1 point: unsatisfactory	Presents a summary of general findings, views and sentiments that has little or no relevance and that lacks focus and order; shares 1 or no quotes to illustrate findings and/or conclusions; draws conclusions that are not evidence-based and logical.	Demonstrates little or no grasp of subject matter; presents 1-2 arguments with little or no supporting evidence.	Rambling, disorganized and very hard to follow; poor articulation, inadequate volume, pace, animation, and little to no consciousness of audience; unengaging; includes no visuals; responds poorly to questions.

Close Window

© 2007 Educational Broadcasting Corporation. All rights reserved.

